

Muzaffarpur Institute of Tech, Muzaffarpur Audited by Dr. Yogesh Velankar

MONITORING AND PROJECT OUTPUT/OUTCOME PARAMEENTERS	GRADE
A. Effectiveness of funds utilized for the teaching, training, learning and research equipment, library, computers, etc. by Institutions:	
1. Percentage utilization of the funds released by MHRD to the institutions (90%). Documents Uploaded	1
2. Percentage of expenditure accounted in the book of accounts against funds utilized (90%). Documents Uploaded	1
B. Improvement in Teaching, Learning and Research competence.	
1. Percentage of NBA-accredited programs (UG and PG) or applied for out of eligible programs (at least 60% of eligible programs). Documents Uploaded	1
2. Implementation of GATE: Percentage of UG students enrolled for GATE (70%) Documents Uploaded	1
3. Implementation of GATE: Percentage of final students provided GATE training(70%) Documents Uploaded	1
4. Implementation of GATE: Percentage of UG student acquired GATE valid score (17%) Documents Uploaded	1
5. Percentage of PhD students in total enrolment in engineering disciplines in participating institutes Documents Uploaded	3
C. Implementation of AICTE mandate	
1. Percentage of newly admitted 1st year students attended three weeks Induction program conducted by mentor faculty of the institute (100%) Documents Uploaded	1
2. Percentage of pre-final and final year UG students participated in Industry readiness program. Documents Uploaded	1
3. Institute has Start-up /innovation cell and the activities (start-up ecosystem, drive for promoting creativity, Hackathon participation etc.) are evident (Y/N) Documents Uploaded	1
4. Percentage of UG students undergone internships spanning 4 to 8 weeks in industry (100%). Documents Uploaded	1
5. In case of autonomous institutes, presence of industry consultation committee (ICC) and no. of meetings arranged for Curriculum review/ revision (Y/N). Or Presence ICC and Verification of PEO by ICC for non-autonomous institutes (Y/N). Documents Uploaded	1
6. Percentage of students who have been imparted training in technical and soft skills required for working in the industry. Documents Uploaded	1
D. Increasing faculty productivity and motivation	
1. Sanctioned faculty positions are as per AICTE norms (1:20 ratio) in all the programs eligible for accreditation (Y/N). Documents Uploaded	1
2. Percentage of sanctioned faculty positions in participating institutes filled by regular or contract	1

faculty (60%).	
Documents Uploaded	
3. Number of faculty members deputed for upgradation of qualification.	3
Documents Uploaded	
4. Percentage of faculty that have taken annual refresher delivered through SWAYAM portal (50%).	1
Documents Uploaded	
5. Percentage of faculty trained in either their subject domain, pedagogy, or management capacity building and leadership programs 70%).	1
Documents Uploaded	
6. Percentage of externally funded R&D projects and consultancies in total revenue in participating institutes (7%)	1
Documents Uploaded	
E. Effectiveness of equity at Institutional level:	
1. Existing land being used is free of trespassers / encroachers & Labour and Stakeholders safety during repair or refurbishment in the institute :	1
Documents Uploaded	
2. Sufficient Sanitary provisions are available for female with facility of vending machine and disposal:	1
Documents Uploaded	
3. Percentage of faculty positions filled in the institute by same category on regular or contract basis (out of sanctioned position for respective category). (a) SC (filled by SC faculty/ sanctioned for SC Faculty): Target 20% : (b) ST (filled by ST faculty/ sanctioned for ST Faculty): Target 20% : (c) Female (filled female faculty/ total filled faculty): Target 30% :	2
Documents Uploaded	
4. Percentage of number of faculty trained in either their subject domain, pedagogy or management, attended conference/ seminar in participating institutes out of available faculty in the respective category. SC (70%) : ST (70%) : Female (70%) :	1
Documents Uploaded	
5. Percentage of students from traditionally disadvantaged groups in total enrolment in the institute. SC (13%) : ST (7%) : Female (30%) :	2
Documents Uploaded	
6. Percentage of number of students transitioned from the first year to the second year (without backlog) in undergraduate programmes out of enrolled students in respective category. SC (50%) : ST (50%) : Female (55%) :	1
Documents Uploaded	
7. Percentage of final year students trained for GATE/Employability skills training out of final year students in respective category. SC (70%) : ST (70%) : Female (70%) :	1
Documents Uploaded	
F. Improved system efficiency, as demonstrated through:	
1. Constitution of BoG as per the prescribed format (Y/N).	1

Documents Uploaded	
2. BoG, Department Management Committee or equivalent that meets at least 4 times every calendar (Y/N)	1
Documents Uploaded	
3. Minutes of all the above 4 meetings disclosed publically (on website) (Y/N).	1
Documents Uploaded	
4. Status of Autonomy (Academic, Administrative, Financial) o UGC Autonomy (Y/N) o If Autonomous, continuation granted by UGC (Y/N)	3
Documents Uploaded	
5. Institute produces and publish an annual report in the prescribed format in accordance with the requirements set out in the PIP (Y/N).	1
Documents Uploaded	
G. Twinning Activities:	
1. The action plan for twinning activities implemented in last year and & current year planned (Y/N).	1
Documents Uploaded	
2. No. of courses/ classes/workshops conducted by the faculty of Institute under sub component 1.3 for students of 1.1 institute for training and academic development and vice versa (Min 1 per program per year).	1
Documents Uploaded	
3. No. of courses delivered by 1.3 institution for students of 1.1 institutions (Min 1 per program per year). (10% syllabus covered).	1
Documents Uploaded	
4. No. of Trainings conducted for faculty/ support staff of 1.1 institute by Institute under sub component 1.3 for training and academic development and vice versa (Min 1 per program per year).	2
Documents Uploaded	
5. No. of Seminars / Techfest, and conferences conducted by Institute under sub component 1.3 for 1.1 institute for students to share research and discuss technological advancements in dynamic industrial and business environment (Min 1 per year).	1
Documents Uploaded	
6. % of faculty perusing collaborative research (10%).	2
Documents Uploaded	
7. No. of departmental partnership for joint research activities for applied research and technological development (1 per program).	2
Documents Uploaded	
8. Number of Industry partnership for joint R & D, and internships (Min 1 per year).	1
Documents Uploaded	
9. Career Planning Sessions by 1.3 institution for 1.1 institution (2 Sessions).	1
Documents Uploaded	
10. Number of Seminars and learning forums conducted by Institute under sub component 1.3 for 1.1 institute on Governance practices, institutional management, academic and non-academic reforms (Min 1 per year).	1
Documents Uploaded	
11. Number of faculty of 1.1 institute inducted on various bodies (BoG, BoS, Senate etc.) of 1.3 institute for learning on good governance practices and vice versa.	2
Documents Uploaded	
12. Assistance provided in short term advisory and consultancy services by Institute under sub component 1.3 for 1.1.	1
Documents Uploaded	
13. Workshop conducted on Outcome Based Education (NBA) by Institute under sub component 1.3	2

for 1.1 institute.	
Documents Uploaded	
14. Assistance given by the Institute under sub component 1.3 for 1.1 institute in filling-up of SAR for getting accreditation.	1
Documents Uploaded	

MONITORING AND PROJECT OUTPUT/OUTCOME PARAMENTERS	GRADE*	Weightage %	Total Score (Grade x Weightage/100)
A. Effectiveness of funds utilized for the teaching, training, learning and research equipment, library, computers, etc. by Institutions	1.00	15	0.15
B. Improvement in Teaching, Learning and Research competence.	1.17	15	0.18
C. Implementation of AICTE mandate	1.00	15	0.15
D. Increasing faculty productivity and motivation	1.33	10	0.13
E. Effectiveness of equity at Institutional level	1.00	15	0.15
F. Improved system efficiency	1.40	15	0.21
G. Twinning Activities	1.00	15	0.15
*The grade for each parameter is calculated based on the average of all sub-parameters.	Total Score		1.12

Remarks:

In the past year, progress is visible in almost all focus areas of the TEQIP-III project. The Institutional management and team members have accelerated development on all fronts along with support gained from twinning activities. Physical infrastructure of the campus, and maintenance can be further enhanced. Digital learning resources need to be strengthened. Shortage of support staff such as laboratory personnel needs to be addressed. Overall, there needs to be more awareness amongst all internal stakeholders regarding the TEQIP “ III project and its goals and activities. The momentum of progress needs to be further accelerated, and any potential hurdles need to be addressed proactively.

I Dr. Yogesh Velankar verify that all the information provided is correct to best of my knowledge.



Date Submitted: 21/08/2019